

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/748,441 | 12/29/2003 | Bennett Cookson JR. | 019404-001200 | 2382 | |
| 20350 7590 2022/2009 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | GISHNOCK | GISHNOCK, NIKOLAI A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | 3715 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/27/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/748,441 | COOKSON ET AL. |
| Review | | Art Unit |
| Review | Nikolai A. Gishnock | 3715 |
| | * | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appea | Brief Request for Review filed 2/12/2 | 009. | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Improper Request – The Freason(s): | Request is improper and a conference | will not be held for the following | | | |
| The request does not inc | s not been filed concurrent with the Pri lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief r | ate. | | | |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. | | | | | |
| held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no | nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pr der appeal because there is at least o rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. I from mailing this decision, or the bal otice of appeal, whichever is greater. F 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail dai able. | ne actual issue for appeal. Applicar The time period for filing an appea ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the | | | |
| ☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.41</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from co | | ws: | | | |
| | conference has been held. The reject ution on the merits remains closed. N | | | | |
| | conference has been held. The rejection action is required by applicant at this t | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | |
| (1) Nikolai A. Gishnock. | (3) <u>Thomas Barrett</u> . | | | | |
| (2) Xuan Thai. | (4) | | | | |
| /Nikolai A Gishnock/ Examiner, Art Unit 3715 | /XUAN M. THAI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3715 | /Thomas C. Barrett/ TQAS TC3700 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20090223